



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

LK

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------

08/821,424 03/21/97 YAMAMOTO

K 041465-5037

EXAMINER

LMC1/0510

MORGAN LEWIS AND BOCKIUS
1800 M STREET NW
WASHINGTON DC 20036-5869

CHEVALIER, R	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

2712

DATE MAILED:

05/10/00

11

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Interview Summary

Application No.
08/221,424

Applicant(s)

Yamamoto

Examiner

Robert Chevalier

Group Art Unit

2712

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Robert Chevalier

(3) _____

(2) George Bardmesser

(4) _____

Date of Interview May 9, 2000

Type: ☐ Telephonic ☒ Personal (copy is given to ☒ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Agreement ☒ was reached. ☐ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: 10

Identification of prior art discussed:

Cookson et al

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Applicant's Representative discussed with the Examiner the difference between the claimed invention and the cited reference in that Cookson et al fails to disclose the claimed limitations of each of the processed partial information block includes a plurality of address information of the next reproduction units in a predetermined range before and after the reproduction units. Examiner agrees to reconsider.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.

Robert Chevalier
ROBERT CHEVALIER
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 2712